

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**  
**(RE-ADVERTISEMENT)**

**by**

**The University of Texas at Arlington**

**for**

**Selection of a Vendor to Provide  
Business Continuity Software**

**RFP No. UTA2023-011A**

**Submittal Deadline: August 2, 2023**

Issued: June 21, 2023

**\*1143430-03-1-37\***

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL****TABLE OF CONTENTS****SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION****SECTION 2: NOTICE TO PROPOSER****SECTION 3: SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL****SECTION 4: TERMS AND CONDITIONS****SECTION 5: SPECIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS****SECTION 6: PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE****Attachments:****APPENDIX ONE: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS****APPENDIX TWO: TERMS AND CONDITIONS****APPENDIX THREE: HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN****APPENDIX FOUR: ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES****APPENDIX FIVE: ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT  
SPECIFICATIONS****APPENDIX SIX: SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF  
CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION RESOURCES****APPENDIX SEVEN: INFORMATION SECURITY RIDER****\*1143430-03-2-37\***

## SECTION 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Description of University

Founded in 1895, The University of Texas at Arlington is a Carnegie Research-1 “Very High Research Activity” institution with more than 100 years of academic excellence and tradition. It is a comprehensive research, teaching, and public service university whose mission is the advancement of knowledge and the pursuit of excellence.

With a global enrollment of more than 60,000, UT Arlington is the largest university in North Texas. It also has one of the most diverse campus populations in the country. Students come from every state and more than 100 countries, contributing to *U.S. News & World Report* ranking it with the fifth-highest undergraduate ethnic diversity index in the country. UT Arlington is a Hispanic-Serving Institution and is ranked No. 1 in the U.S. for veterans and their families by *Military Times*.

The University has research centers in every discipline and state-of-the-art facilities in Arlington and Fort Worth that enable our faculty and students to help solve the world’s most urgent challenges. Of its 220,000-plus alumni, approximately 65% percent live in North Texas. Their presence helps the University create an annual economic impact of almost \$17.1 billion in the region.

#### 1.2 Background and Special Circumstances

Business continuity planning is essential in prioritizing and recognizing critical business operations and services necessary to be delivered during campus disruption, while maintaining institutional integrity and serving the best interests for the University of Texas at Arlington (UTA) community, under difficult circumstances. It is a vital component in creating a resilient community with the capacity to resume campus functions, research activities, and academic instruction shortly after a university-wide disruptive event in the form of natural or man-made catastrophes, large or small.

UTA has numerous departments and colleges that have completed business continuity plans through an online portal. It is essential that they continue to be allowed to access and update their plan(s) in an easy-to-use online tool.

#### 1.3 Objective of Request for Proposal

The University of Texas at Arlington is accepting proposals in response to this Request for Proposal (RFP) for selection of a qualified contractor to provide a business continuity planning tool to assist University departments and colleges in completing a business continuity plan.

#### 1.4 Term of the Agreement

The initial term of the resulting Agreement will begin upon execution and run for three (3) year(s) and thereafter the University shall have the right, at its option, to renew the Agreement for up to three (3) additional renewal terms of one (1) year(s) each.

Prices for the initial term are to be firm. At the end of the initial term and each renewal term (if any), the rates may be increased, decreased or remain unchanged. Any price adjustment must be requested by the Vendor in writing, including detailed documentation supporting the increase, at least sixty (60) days prior to the expiration of the current term. Changes, if agreed to, shall be based upon the percentage change in the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Consumer Price Index (CPI)-All Urban Consumers for Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington for the previous contract period ([https://www.bls.gov/regions/southwest/news-release/consumerpriceindex\\_dallasfortworth.htm](https://www.bls.gov/regions/southwest/news-release/consumerpriceindex_dallasfortworth.htm)). No change in pricing shall exceed the year-over-year percentage change in the CPI index noted above, unless it is deemed in

# \*1143430-03-3-37\*

the best interest of the University based on current market conditions and the best value criteria included in Texas Education Code 51.9335.

### 1.5 Transition Period

Contractor must agree that when the resulting Contract Agreement of this RFP expires or is terminated for any reason, then, at the University's option, Contractor will continue to perform the Business Continuity Software services in accordance with the terms, conditions and pricing of this Contract Agreement until the University contracts with another qualified and experienced Contractor(s) to perform the Business Continuity Software services or, is able to perform the Business Continuity Software services in-house; provided that the Contractor will not be required to continue performing the Business Continuity Software services for more than ninety (90) days after the expiration or termination date of this Contract Agreement.

The Contractor will cooperate with, and assist, the University's efforts to transition to another Contractor(s) or to perform Business Continuity Software services in-house.

### 1.6 Group Purchase Authority

Texas law authorizes institutions of higher education (defined by [§61.003, Education Code](#)) to use the group purchasing procurement method (ref. §§[51.9335](#), [73.115](#), and [74.008, Education Code](#)). Additional Texas institutions of higher education may therefore elect to enter into a contract with the successful Proposer under this RFP. In particular, Proposer should note that University is part of The University of Texas System (**UT System**), which is comprised of fourteen institutions described at <http://www.utsystem.edu/institutions>. UT System institutions routinely evaluate whether a contract resulting from a procurement conducted by one of the institutions might be suitable for use by another, and if so, this RFP could give rise to additional purchase volumes. As a result, in submitting its proposal, Proposer should consider proposing a pricing model and other commercial terms that take into account the higher volumes and other expanded opportunities that could result from the eventual inclusion of other institutions in the purchase contemplated by this RFP. Any purchases made by other institutions based on this RFP will be the sole responsibility of those institutions.

### 1.7 Addenda and Additional RFP Documents

Any addenda or other subsequently released RFP documents will be posted to the University's online solicitation website [www.bidnetdirect.com//arlington](http://www.bidnetdirect.com//arlington) (BidNet). They will not be sent directly to potential proposers. It is the Proposer's responsibility to periodically check the BidNet website [www.bidnetdirect.com//arlington](http://www.bidnetdirect.com//arlington) for additional RFP documents.

### 1.8 Parking

Employees of companies who park their personal vehicle in UTA parking facilities, or any property owned or controlled by UTA, to perform their duties are required to purchase a faculty/staff or daily permit. For more information visit the University's Parking and Transportation Services website at <https://www.uta.edu/pats/parking/visitor-parking.php>.

**\*1143430-03-4-37\***

## SECTION 2

**NOTICE TO PROPOSER****2.1 Submittal Deadline**

University will accept proposals until **3:00 p.m.** Central Daylight Time (CDT), on August 2, 2023 (**Submittal Deadline**).

**2.2 RFP Contact Information and Questions**

Proposers and other Interested parties must direct all questions or concerns regarding this RFP to the following University contact (the "**University Contact**"). Any direct contact with the end user or other University personnel related to this RFP outside of a Procurement-lead meeting or discussion may be grounds for disqualification of proposal:

Nancy Czarowitz  
Contract Specialist  
Email: czarowitz@uta.edu  
RFP No. UTA2023-011A

The University instructs interested parties to restrict all contact and questions regarding this RFP to written communications delivered (i) in accordance with this Section on or before 5:00 p.m. CDT July 18, 2023 (**Question Deadline**), or (ii) if questions relate to Historically Underutilized Businesses, in accordance with **Section 2.5**.

University will provide responses as soon as practicable following the Question Deadline. University intends to respond to all timely submitted questions. However, University reserves the right to decline to respond to any question.

**2.3 Criteria for Selection**

The successful Proposer, if any, selected by University through this RFP will be the Proposer that submits a proposal on or before the Submittal Deadline that is the most advantageous to University. **Contractor** means the successful Proposer under this RFP.

Proposer is encouraged to propose terms and conditions offering the maximum benefit to University in terms of (1) service, (2) total overall cost, and (3) project management expertise.

The evaluation of proposals and the selection of Contractor will be based on the information provided in the proposal. University may consider additional information if University determines the information is relevant.

Criteria to be considered by University in evaluating proposals and selecting Contractor, will be these factors:

**2.3.1 Threshold Criteria Not Scored**

- 2.3.1.1 Ability of University to comply with laws regarding Historically Underutilized Businesses; and
- 2.3.1.2 Ability of University to comply with laws regarding purchases from persons with disabilities.

**2.3.2 Scored Criteria**

- 2.3.2.1 Cost of goods and services (20%);
- 2.3.2.2 Reputation of the Proposer and of the Proposer's goods or services (10%)
  - Years in business including mergers/acquisition (5 years minimum)

**\*1143430-03-5-37\***

- Size of organization with details on resources available for project
- Three (3) references that demonstrate knowledge and experience with providing similar solutions to institutions similar in size and scope (higher education references preferred).
- Qualifications including company literature and attachments (contractor newsletters, published articles concerning company/staff/equipment, sample reports, press releases, etc.

2.3.2.3 Quality of the Proposer's goods or services (20%):

- Incorporates new and upcoming technology innovations to continually meet the changing landscape
- Uses best-in-class hardware and software as the basic-level of service
- Redundancy of the business continuity system

2.3.2.4 Extent to which the goods or services meet the University's needs (25%);

- The extent the business continuity system addresses the requirements as described in this RFP
- Extent to which proposal meets Functional Requirements
- Solution fit and qualities: robust, customizable, adaptable, ease of use, etc.
- Technical/software fit and infrastructure requirements

2.3.2.5 Proposer's past relationship with the University (5%);

2.3.2.6 Total long-term cost to the University of acquiring the Proposer's goods or services (0%)

2.3.2.7 Any other relevant factors that a private business entity would consider in selecting a vendor (20% total)

Value-Added Services (10%)

- Additional products available or included in submittal, but not specifically requested in the Scope of Work
- Features that will resonate, excite, and engage customers while improving the UTA brand
- Features that can improve the overall customer experience

Implementation and Support Plan/Schedule (10%)

- Method and timeline for full implementation of the software, including training
- Ability to implement the components of the system in production
- Plan for ongoing customer service and support needs

## 2.4 Key Events Schedule

Date RFP Issued	June 21, 2023
Non-Mandatory Pre-Proposal Conference (ref. <b>Section 2.6</b> )	July 6, 2023 at 10:30 a.m. CDT
HUB Subcontracting Training (ref. <b>Section 2.5.1</b> )	July 7, 2023 at 10:30 a.m. CDT
Question Deadline (ref. <b>Section 2.2</b> )	July 18, 2023 at 5:00 p.m. CDT
HUB Subcontracting Plan Courtesy Review Deadline (ref. <b>Section 2.5.5</b> )	July 22, 2023 at 5:00 p.m. CDT
Submittal Deadline (ref. <b>Section 2.1</b> )	August 2, 2023 at 3:00 p.m. CDT

## 2.5 Historically Underutilized Businesses

2.5.1 All agencies of the State of Texas are required to make a good faith effort to assist historically underutilized businesses (**HUBs**) in receiving contract awards. The goal of the HUB program is to promote full and equal business opportunity for all businesses in contracting with state agencies. Pursuant to the HUB program, if under the terms of any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP, Contractor subcontracts any Work, then Contractor must make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs certified by the Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. Proposals that fail to comply with the requirements contained in this **Section 2.5** will constitute a material failure to comply with advertised specifications and will be rejected by University as non-responsive. Additionally, compliance with good faith effort guidelines is a condition precedent to awarding any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges that, if selected by University, its obligation to make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs when subcontracting any Work will continue throughout the term of all agreements and contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP. Furthermore, any subcontracting of Work by the Proposer is subject to review by University to ensure compliance with the HUB program.

**NOTE: How to successfully complete the HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP).**

**A call-in session will be held on July 7, 2023 at 10:30. a.m. Central Daylight Time with:**

**HUB Program Manager  
Mario Ramirez**

### Microsoft Teams meeting

**Join on your computer, mobile app or room device**

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 224 284 319 918

Passcode: caQAev

[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

**Or call in (audio only)**

[+1 817-502-2418,,135072788#](#) United States, Fort Worth

**\*1143430-03-7-37\***

Phone Conference ID: 135 072 788#

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

All methods for completing the plan will be covered in the session with time allotted for questions and answers. We encourage your HSP preparer's attendance of this session to ensure meeting the State of Texas HUB Subcontracting Plan requirements and avoid your bid being disqualified.

- 2.5.2 University has reviewed this RFP in accordance with [34 TAC §20.285](#), and has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under this RFP.
- 2.5.3 A HUB Subcontracting Plan (**HSP**) is a required part of the proposal. The HSP will be developed and administered in accordance with University's Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses, attached as **APPENDIX THREE**.

Each Proposer must complete and return the HSP in accordance with the terms and conditions of this RFP, including **APPENDIX THREE**. Proposers that fail to submit the HSP will be considered non-responsive to this RFP as required by [§2161.252, Government Code](#).

Questions regarding the HSP may be directed to:

**Mario Ramirez**  
**(817) 272-2039**  
[mario.ramirez@uta.edu](mailto:mario.ramirez@uta.edu)

Contractor will not be permitted to change its HSP unless: (1) Contractor completes a new HSP in accordance with the terms of **APPENDIX THREE**, setting forth all modifications requested by Contractor, (2) Contractor provides the modified HSP to University, (3) University approves the modified HSP in writing, and (4) all agreements resulting from this RFP are amended in writing to conform to the modified HSP.

- 2.5.4 Proposer must submit all required proposal documents per the instructions provided in the proposal package.

Any proposal submitted in response to this RFP that is not accompanied by all required documents may be rejected by University as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

University will review Proposer's HSP prior to opening the proposal to confirm Proposer submitted the HSP. Proposer's failure to submit the HSP will result in University's rejection of the proposal as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

- 2.5.5 University may offer Proposer an opportunity to seek informal review of its draft HSP by University's HUB Office before the Submittal Deadline. If University extends this offer, details will be provided at the Pre-Proposal Conference (ref. **Section 2.6**) or by other means. Informal review is designed to help address questions Proposer may have about how to complete its HSP properly. Concurrence or comment on Proposer's draft HSP by University will *not* constitute formal approval of the HSP and will *not* eliminate the need for Proposer to submit its final HSP to University as instructed by **Section 2.5**.

## 2.6 Pre-Proposal Conference

University will hold a **non-mandatory** pre-proposal conference at 10:30 a.m. CDT, July 6, 2023. The pre-proposal conference will be held via Microsoft Teams using the hyperlink and contact information below. The pre-proposal conference will allow all Proposers an opportunity to ask University's representatives relevant questions and clarify provisions of this RFP.

# \*1143430-03-8-37\*



Join the meeting via Microsoft Teams by clicking on the **Join Microsoft Teams Meeting** hyperlink below. You are encouraged to test the link and your connectivity prior to the meeting. Those that wish to call-in may do so by dialing the phone number provided below and using the Conference ID when prompted.

## Microsoft Teams meeting

**Join on your computer, mobile app or room device**

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 262 041 405 008

Passcode: dQyiQM

[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

**Or call in (audio only)**

[+1 817-502-2418,,824843976#](#) United States, Fort Worth

Phone Conference ID: 824 843 976#

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

The University of Texas at Arlington is not responsible if you are not able to connect to the call for any reason.

**\*1143430-03-9-37\***

## SECTION 3

**SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL****3.1 Number of Copies**

Proposer must submit a complete copy of its entire proposal according to the instructions provided in this RFP. A signature by an authorized officer of Proposer must appear on the Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) of the submitted proposal.

**3.2 Submission**

University will not accept proposals submitted by email or fax. All proposals and required documents must be submitted through the University's online portal at [www.bidnetdirect.com//arlington](http://www.bidnetdirect.com//arlington).

**3.3 Proposal Validity Period**

Each proposal must state that it will remain valid for University's acceptance for a minimum of one hundred eighty (180) days after the Submittal Deadline, to allow time for evaluation, selection, and any unforeseen delays.

**3.4 Terms and Conditions**

3.4.1 Proposer must comply with the requirements and specifications contained in this RFP, including the Terms and Conditions (ref. **Section 4** and **APPENDIX TWO**), the Notice to Proposer (ref. **Section 2**), Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX ONE**) and the Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5**). If there is a conflict among the provisions in this RFP, the provision requiring Proposer to supply the better quality or greater quantity of services will prevail, or if such conflict does not involve quality or quantity, then interpretation will be in the following order of precedence:

- 3.4.1.1. Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5**);
- 3.4.1.2. Terms and Conditions (ref. **Section 4** and **APPENDIX TWO**);
- 3.4.1.3. Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX ONE**);
- 3.4.1.4. Notice to Proposers (ref. **Section 2**).

**\*1143430-03-10-37\***

### 3.5 Submittal Checklist

Proposer is instructed to complete, sign, and return the following documents as a part of its proposal. If Proposer fails to return each of the following items with its proposal, then University may reject the proposal:

- 3.5.1 Signed and Completed Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**)
- 3.5.2 Signed and Completed Pricing and Delivery Schedule (ref. **Section 6**)
- 3.5.3 Responses to Proposer's General Questionnaire (ref. **Section 3** of **APPENDIX ONE**)
- 3.5.4 Signed and Completed Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4** of **APPENDIX ONE**)
- 3.5.5 Responses to questions and requests for information in the Specifications and Additional Questions Section (ref. **Section 5**)
- 3.5.6 Signed and completed originals of the HUB Subcontracting Plan or other applicable documents (ref. **Section 2.5** and **APPENDIX THREE**).
- 3.5.7 Responses to questions and requests for information in Information Technology Appendices Four through Seven

**\*1143430-03-11-37\***

**SECTION 4**

**GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

**See ATTACHMENT A – TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

**\*1143430-03-12-37\***

**SECTION 5****SPECIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS****5.1 General**

Minimum requirements and specifications for Work, as well as certain requests for information to be provided by Proposer as part of its proposal, are set forth below. As indicated in **Section 2.3**, **Contractor** means the successful Proposer.

The University of Texas at Arlington is accepting bids for a business continuity planning tool to help University departments and colleges to prioritize and recognize critical business operations and services necessary to be delivered during campus disruption. This planning tool should have the following capabilities:

**5.2 Minimum Requirements**

Each Proposal must include information that clearly indicates that Proposer meets each of the following minimum qualification requirements:

- 5.2.1 Must have capability to utilize existing LDAP or IMAP, AD, CAS, or Shibboleth authentication system for user authentication. Capability to utilize existing use identity; users will not require a separate user ID and password to access the system.
- 5.2.2 Must have multiple user levels including administrative level privileges, plan managers (or equivalent) to edit and approve plans specific to their department/college, plan editor (or equivalent) to edit plans specific to their department/college, and read-only privileges.
- 5.2.3 Must have a program that creates a business continuity plan when you answer questions on the tool. Must be able to print out a formatted plan after answering the questions.
- 5.2.4 Must have ability to modify and create questions in the tool so that they are specific to University needs.
- 5.2.5 Users of the tool must be able to:
  - Easily identify critical functions including levels of criticality.
  - Identify information technology needs including central applications with level of criticality, department specific applications, servers, workstations, and recovery strategies.
  - Identify key resources including staffing requirements, work from home privileges, skills, equipment and supplies, facilities and transportation, and uploading key documents.
- 5.2.6 Must have ability to provide frequently asked questions and guidance for each section on the tool.
- 5.2.7 Must provide a dashboard for the administrative users to show plan status for all plans (in progress, due for review, current, etc).
- 5.2.8 Must have been in business at least five (5) years.

**5.3 Additional Questions Specific to this RFP**

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer's proposal:

**\*1143430-03-13-37\***

- 5.3.1 If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in **APPENDIX TWO**), Proposer must submit a list of the exceptions.
- 5.3.2 Intentionally omitted
- 5.3.3 In its proposal, Proposer must indicate whether it will consent to include in the Agreement the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language that is set forth in **APPENDIX FIVE, Access by Individuals with Disabilities**. If Proposer objects to the inclusion of the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language in the Agreement, Proposer must, as part of its proposal, specifically identify and describe in detail all of the reasons for Proposer’s objection. NOTE: A GENERAL OBJECTION IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION.
- 5.3.4 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX SIX, Electronic and Information Resources (EIR) Environment Specifications**. **APPENDIX SIX** will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide to University. Responses to **APPENDIX SIX** will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Contractor.
- 5.3.5 Intentionally omitted
- 5.3.6 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX SEVEN, Security Characteristics and Functionality of Contractor’s Information Resources**. **APPENDIX SEVEN** will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide to University. Responses to **APPENDIX SEVEN** will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Contractor.

#### 5.4 Scope of Work

Contractor will provide the following services to University:

- 5.4.1 Should have the ability to create action items for each plan that can be specific to the needs of each department and clearly understood.
- 5.4.2 Should have administrative level access to create reports across all plans in the system including
- actional item by plan
  - action items list, activity log, buildings
  - central applications
  - critical/essential functions
  - department applications
  - department contacts, documents
  - key external contacts
  - key institutional contacts
  - key resources – staffing
  - peak periods
  - plan activity
  - skills
  - user activity
  - user sign in count
  - alternate physical locations
  - financial operational assessments
  - data backup and recovery (hard copy and electronic)

**\*1143430-03-14-37\***

- 5.4.3 Should be able to embed/incorporate documents and files in multiple data types including PDF, Word, Excel, PowerPoint, and jpg into BCP plans.
- 5.4.4 Should extract and compile specific data sets from all plans. This will include:
- Departments/Units Alternate locations
  - All the IT systems needed to restore critical functions
  - Recovery Time Objectives of Critical Functions

## 5.5 Questions & Answers from Previously Published RFP:

- 5.5.1 How many individuals on campus are involved in BCP management for UTA, i.e., how many user licenses will you require?

**We have around 325 active users.**

- 5.5.2 How would you categorize these users? How often do you foresee each group of users logging in?

**Every department and unit is required to update their plan annually. Users may login anywhere from 1 to 100 times during the update process.**

- 5.5.3 We want to confirm that UTA is strictly seeking a solution to manage the BCP program before an incident occurs. Is there any need for a solution to manage incidents in real time or overall situational awareness on campus?

**Yes, we are looking for a system to manage the BCP program. We are not looking for an incident management platform at this time.**

- 5.5.4 In regard to transcribing data: how many plans will need to be transcribed? How will the data be given to the contractor (PDF, Word, Excel)? Will the transcription be required before go-live?

**Yes, there will be 126 plans that are in PDF format.**

- 5.5.5 For section - 5.2.2 "Must have multiple user levels including administrative level privileges, plan managers (or equivalent) to edit and approve plans specific to their department/college, plan editor (or equivalent) to edit plans specific to their department/college, and read-only privileges." How many users of each level are required?

**Outside of 4 administrative level access, the number needed for approver/editor, editor, and read-only is unknown. This is up to each of our departments/colleges, and we prefer not to restrict them.**

- 5.5.6 Will UTA also perform a Business Impact Analysis (BIA) as part of the process?

**Each department/college will conduct a BIA internally.**

**\*1143430-03-15-37\***

**SECTION 6**

**PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE**

**See ATTACHMENT C – PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE**

**\*1143430-03-16-37\***



**APPENDIX ONE**  
**PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION**

**SECTION 2: EXECUTION OF OFFER**

**SECTION 3: PROPOSER'S GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE**

**SECTION 4: ADDENDA CHECKLIST**

**\*1143430-03-17-37\***

**SECTION 1****GENERAL INFORMATION****1.1 Purpose**

University is soliciting competitive sealed proposals from Proposers having suitable qualifications and experience providing services in accordance with the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in this RFP. This RFP provides sufficient information for interested parties to prepare and submit proposals for consideration by University.

By submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that it understands this RFP and has full knowledge of the scope, nature, quality, and quantity of services to be performed, the detailed requirements of services to be provided, and the conditions under which services are to be performed. Proposer also certifies that it understands that all costs relating to preparing a response to this RFP will be the sole responsibility of the Proposer.

PROPOSER IS CAUTIONED TO READ THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS RFP CAREFULLY AND TO SUBMIT A COMPLETE RESPONSE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS AND QUESTIONS AS DIRECTED.

**1.2 Inquiries and Interpretations**

University may in its sole discretion respond in writing to written inquiries concerning this RFP and mail its response as an Addendum to all parties recorded by University as having received a copy of this RFP. Only University's responses that are made by formal written Addenda will be binding on University. Any verbal responses, written interpretations or clarifications other than Addenda to this RFP will be without legal effect. All Addenda issued by University prior to the Submittal Deadline will be and are hereby incorporated as a part of this RFP for all purposes.

Proposers are required to acknowledge receipt of each Addendum as specified in this Section. The Proposer must acknowledge all Addenda by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4 of APPENDIX ONE**). The Addenda Checklist must be received by University prior to the Submittal Deadline and should accompany the Proposer's proposal.

Any interested party that receives this RFP by means other than directly from University is responsible for notifying University that it has received an RFP package, and should provide its name, address, telephone and facsimile (**FAX**) numbers, and email address, to University, so that if University issues Addenda to this RFP or provides written answers to questions, that information can be provided to that party.

**1.3 Public Information**

Proposer is hereby notified that University strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information.

University may seek to protect from disclosure all information submitted in response to this RFP until such time as a final agreement is executed.

Upon execution of a final agreement, University will consider all information, documentation, and other materials requested to be submitted in response to this RFP, to be of a non-confidential and non-proprietary nature and, therefore, subject to public disclosure under the *Texas Public Information Act* (ref. [Chapter 552, Government Code](#)). Proposer will be advised of a request for public information that implicates their materials and will have the opportunity to raise any objections to disclosure to the Texas Attorney General. Certain information may be protected from release under §§[552.101](#), [552.104](#), [552.110](#), [552.113](#), and [552.131](#), *Government Code*.

**1.4 Type of Agreement**

Contractor, if any, will be required to enter into a contract with University in a form that (i) includes terms and conditions substantially similar to the terms and conditions set forth in **APPENDIX TWO**, and (ii) is otherwise acceptable to University in all respects (**Agreement**).

**1.5 Proposal Evaluation Process**

University will select Contractor by using the competitive sealed proposal process described in this Section. Any proposals that are not submitted by the Submittal Deadline or that are not accompanied by required number of completed and signed originals of the HSP will be rejected by University as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with this RFP (ref. **Section 2.5.4**). Upon completion of the initial review and evaluation of proposals, University may invite one or more selected Proposers to participate in oral presentations. University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid public disclosure of the contents of a proposal prior to selection of Contractor.

University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of the proposals initially submitted, without discussion, clarification or modification. In the alternative, University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of negotiation with any of the Proposers. In conducting negotiations, University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid disclosing the contents of competing proposals.

University may discuss and negotiate all elements of proposals submitted by Proposers within a specified competitive range. For purposes of negotiation, University may establish, after an initial review of the proposals, a competitive range of acceptable or potentially acceptable proposals composed of the highest rated proposal(s). In that event, University may defer further action on proposals not included within the

**\*1143430-03-18-37\***

competitive range pending the selection of Contractor; provided, however, University reserves the right to include additional proposals in the competitive range if deemed to be in the best interest of University.

After the Submittal Deadline but before final selection of Contractor, University may permit Proposer to revise its proposal in order to obtain the Proposer's best and final offer. In that event, representations made by Proposer in its revised proposal, including price and fee quotes, will be binding on Proposer. University will provide each Proposer within the competitive range with an equal opportunity for discussion and revision of its proposal. University is not obligated to select the Proposer offering the most attractive economic terms if that Proposer is not the most advantageous to University overall, as determined by University.

University reserves the right to (a) enter into an agreement for all or any portion of the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP with one or more Proposers, (b) reject any and all proposals and re-solicit proposals, or (c) reject any and all proposals and temporarily or permanently abandon this selection process, if deemed to be in the best interests of University. Proposer is hereby notified that University will maintain in its files concerning this RFP a written record of the basis upon which a selection, if any, is made by University.

#### **1.6 Proposer's Acceptance of RFP Terms**

Proposer (1) accepts [a] Proposal Evaluation Process (ref. **Section 1.5 of APPENDIX ONE**), [b] Criteria for Selection (ref. **Section 2.3**), [c] Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5**), [d] terms and conditions of the Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**), and [e] all other requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP; and (2) acknowledges that some subjective judgments must be made by University during this RFP process.

#### **1.7 Solicitation for Proposal and Proposal Preparation Costs**

Proposer understands and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for proposals and University has made no representation written or oral that one or more agreements with University will be awarded under this RFP; (2) University issues this RFP predicated on University's anticipated requirements for Work, and University has made no representation, written or oral, that any particular scope of work will actually be required by University; and (3) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost that arises from Proposer's preparation of a proposal in response to this RFP.

#### **1.8 Proposal Requirements and General Instructions**

- 1.8.1 Proposer should carefully read the information contained herein and submit a complete proposal in response to all requirements and questions as directed.
- 1.8.2 Proposals and any other information submitted by Proposer in response to this RFP will become the property of University.
- 1.8.3 University will not provide compensation to Proposer for any expenses incurred by the Proposer for proposal preparation or for demonstrations or oral presentations that may be made by Proposer. Proposer submits its proposal at its own risk and expense.
- 1.8.4 Proposals that (i) are qualified with conditional clauses; (ii) alter, modify, or revise this RFP in any way; or (iii) contain irregularities of any kind, are subject to disqualification by University, at University's sole discretion.
- 1.8.5 Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of Proposer's ability to meet the requirements and specifications of this RFP. Emphasis should be on completeness, clarity of content, and responsiveness to the requirements and specifications of this RFP.
- 1.8.6 University makes no warranty or guarantee that an award will be made as a result of this RFP. University reserves the right to accept or reject any or all proposals, waive any formalities, procedural requirements, or minor technical inconsistencies, and delete any requirement or specification from this RFP or the Agreement when deemed to be in University's best interest. University reserves the right to seek clarification from any Proposer concerning any item contained in its proposal prior to final selection. Such clarification may be provided by telephone conference or personal meeting with or writing to University, at University's sole discretion. Representations made by Proposer within its proposal will be binding on Proposer.
- 1.8.7 Any proposal that fails to comply with the requirements contained in this RFP may be rejected by University, in University's sole discretion.

**\*1143430-03-19-37\***

**1.9 Preparation and Submittal Instructions****1.9.1 Specifications and Additional Questions**

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5**). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N/A (Not Applicable) or N/R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N/A or N/R.

**1.9.2 Execution of Offer**

Proposer must complete, sign and return the attached Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. The Execution of Offer must be signed by a representative of Proposer duly authorized to bind the Proposer to its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Execution of Offer may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

**1.9.3 Pricing and Delivery Schedule**

Proposer must complete and return the Pricing and Delivery Schedule (ref. **Section 6**), as part of its proposal. In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, the Proposer should describe in detail (a) the total fees for the entire scope of Work; and (b) the method by which the fees are calculated. The fees must be inclusive of all associated costs for delivery, labor, insurance, taxes, overhead, and profit.

University will not recognize or accept any charges or fees to perform Work that are not specifically stated in the Pricing and Delivery Schedule.

In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, Proposer should describe each significant phase in the process of providing Work to University, and the time period within which Proposer proposes to be able to complete each such phase.

**1.9.4 Proposer's General Questionnaire**

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Proposer's General Questionnaire (ref. **Section 3** of **APPENDIX ONE**). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N/A (Not Applicable) or N/R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N/A or N/R.

**1.9.5 Addenda Checklist**

Proposer should acknowledge all Addenda to this RFP (if any) by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Addenda Checklist may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

**1.9.6 Submission**

Proposer should submit all proposal materials through the University's online portal at [www.bidnetdirect.com/arlington](http://www.bidnetdirect.com/arlington).

Proposer must also submit the HUB Subcontracting Plan (also called the HSP) as required. by **Section 2.5**.

University will not under any circumstances consider a proposal that is received after the Submittal Deadline or which is not accompanied by the HSP as required by **Section 2.5**. University will not accept proposals submitted by telephone, email or FAX transmission.

Except as otherwise provided in this RFP, no proposal may be changed, amended, or modified after it has been submitted to University. However, a proposal may be withdrawn and resubmitted at any time prior to the Submittal Deadline. No proposal may be withdrawn after the Submittal Deadline without University's consent, which will be based on Proposer's written request explaining and documenting the reason for withdrawal, which is acceptable to University.

## SECTION 2

EXECUTION OF OFFER

**THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH THE PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL.**

- 2.1 Representations and Warranties.** Proposer represents, warrants, certifies, acknowledges, and agrees as follows:
- 2.1.1 Proposer will furnish Work to University and comply with all terms, conditions, requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and any resulting Agreement.
  - 2.1.2 This RFP is a solicitation for a proposal and is not a contract or an offer to contract. Submission of a proposal by Proposer in response to this RFP will not create a contract between University and Proposer. University has made no representation or warranty, written or oral, that one or more contracts with University will be awarded under this RFP. Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost arising from Proposer's preparation of a response to this RFP.
  - 2.1.3 Proposer is a reputable company that is lawfully and regularly engaged in providing Work.
  - 2.1.4 Proposer has the necessary experience, knowledge, abilities, skills, and resources to perform Work.
  - 2.1.5 Proposer is aware of, is fully informed about, and is in full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances relating to performance of Work.
  - 2.1.6 Proposer understands (i) the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and (ii) the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement under which Proposer will be required to operate.
  - 2.1.7 Proposer will not delegate any of its duties or responsibilities under this RFP or the Agreement to any sub-contractor, except as expressly provided in the Agreement.
  - 2.1.8 Proposer will maintain any insurance coverage required by the Agreement during the entire term.
  - 2.1.9 All statements, information and representations prepared and submitted in response to this RFP are current, complete, true and accurate. University will rely on such statements, information and representations in selecting Contractor. If selected by University, Proposer will notify University immediately of any material change in any matters with regard to which Proposer has made a statement or representation or provided information.
  - 2.1.10 PROPOSER WILL DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UNIVERSITY, INDEMNIFY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UNIVERSITY, UT SYSTEM, THE STATE OF TEXAS, AND ALL OF THEIR REGENTS, OFFICERS, AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES, FROM AND AGAINST ALL ACTIONS, SUITS, DEMANDS, COSTS, DAMAGES, LIABILITIES AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTLING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, ARISING OUT OF, CONNECTED WITH, OR RESULTING FROM ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS OR OMISSIONS OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PROPOSER OR ANY AGENT, EMPLOYEE, SUBCONTRACTOR, OR SUPPLIER OF PROPOSER IN THE EXECUTION OR PERFORMANCE OF ANY CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT RESULTING FROM THIS RFP.
  - 2.1.11 Pursuant to §§[2107.008](#) and [2252.903](#), *Government Code*, any payments owing to Proposer under the Agreement may be applied directly to any debt or delinquency that Proposer owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas, regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.
  - 2.1.12 Any terms, conditions, or documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal are applicable to this procurement only to the extent that they (a) do not conflict with the laws of the State of Texas or this RFP, and (b) do not place any requirements on University that are not set forth in this RFP. Submission of a proposal is Proposer's good faith intent to enter into the Agreement with University as specified in this RFP and that Proposer's intent is not contingent upon University's acceptance or execution of any terms, conditions, or other documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal.
  - 2.1.13 Pursuant to [Chapter 2271, Texas Government Code](#), Contractor certifies Contractor (1) does not currently boycott Israel; and (2) will not boycott Israel during the Term of this Agreement. Contractor acknowledges this Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.
  - 2.1.14 Pursuant to [Subchapter F, Chapter 2252, Texas Government Code](#), Proposer certifies it is not engaged in business with Iran, Sudan, or a foreign terrorist organization. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.
  - 2.1.15 Pursuant to Chapter 2274, *Texas Government Code* (enacted by [SB 19, 87<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature, Regular Session \(2021\)](#)), Proposer verifies (1) it does not have a practice, policy, guidance, or directive that discriminates against a firearm entity or firearm trade association and (2) it will not discriminate during the term of any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP against a firearm entity or firearm trade

**\*1143430-03-21-37\***

association. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this verification is inaccurate.

- 2.1.16 Pursuant to Chapter 2274, *Texas Government Code* (enacted by [SB 13, 87<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature, Regular Session \(2021\)](#)), Proposer verifies (1) it does not boycott energy companies and (2) it will not boycott energy companies during the term of any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this verification is inaccurate.
- 2.1.17 Pursuant to Section 161.0085, *Texas Health and Safety Code* (enacted by [SB 968, 87<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature, Regular Session \(2021\)](#)), Proposer certifies that it does not require a customer to provide any documentation certifying the customer's COVID-19 vaccination or post-transmission recovery on entry to, to gain access to, or to receive service from Proposer's business. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.
- 2.2 No Benefit to Public Servants.** Proposer has not given or offered to give, nor does Proposer intend to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant in connection with its proposal. Failure to sign this Execution of Offer, or signing with a false statement, may void the submitted proposal or any resulting Agreement, and Proposer may be removed from all proposer lists at University.
- 2.3 Tax Certification.** Proposer is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under [Chapter 171, Tax Code](#), or Proposer is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or Proposer is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable. A false certification will be deemed a material breach of any resulting contract or agreement and, at University's option, may result in termination of any resulting Agreement.
- 2.4 Antitrust Certification.** Neither Proposer nor any firm, corporation, partnership or institution represented by Proposer, nor anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, codified in [§15.01 et seq., Business and Commerce Code](#), or the Federal antitrust laws, nor communicated directly or indirectly the proposal made to any competitor or any other person engaged in such line of business.
- 2.5 Authority Certification.** The individual signing this document and the documents made a part of this RFP, is authorized to sign the documents on behalf of Proposer and to bind Proposer under any resulting Agreement.
- 2.6 Child Support Certification.** Under [§231.006, Family Code](#), relating to child support, the individual or business entity named in Proposer's proposal is not ineligible to receive award of the Agreement, and any Agreements resulting from this RFP may be terminated if this certification is inaccurate.
- 2.7 Relationship Certifications.**
- No relationship, whether by blood, marriage, business association, capital funding agreement or by any other such kinship or connection exists between the owner of any Proposer that is a sole proprietorship, the officers or directors of any Proposer that is a corporation, the partners of any Proposer that is a partnership, the joint venturers of any Proposer that is a joint venture, or the members or managers of any Proposer that is a limited liability company, on one hand, and an employee of any member institution of UT System, on the other hand, other than the relationships which have been previously disclosed to University in writing.
  - Proposer has not been an employee of any member institution of UT System within the immediate twelve (12) months prior to the Submittal Deadline.
  - No person who, in the past four (4) years served as an executive of a state agency was involved with or has any interest in Proposer's proposal or any contract resulting from this RFP (ref. [§669.003, Government Code](#)).
  - All disclosures by Proposer in connection with this certification will be subject to administrative review and approval before University enters into any Agreement resulting from this RFP with Proposer.
- 2.8 Compliance with Equal Employment Opportunity Laws.** Proposer is in compliance with all federal laws and regulations pertaining to Equal Employment Opportunities and Affirmative Action.
- 2.9 Compliance with Safety Standards.** All products and services offered by Proposer to University in response to this RFP meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law ([Public Law 91-596](#)) and the *Texas Hazard Communication Act*, [Chapter 502, Health and Safety Code](#), and all related regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this RFP.
- 2.10 Exceptions to Certifications.** Proposer will and has disclosed, as part of its proposal, any exceptions to the information stated in this Execution of Offer. All information will be subject to administrative review and approval prior to the time University makes an award or enters into any Agreement with Proposer.
- 2.11 Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act Certification.** If Proposer will sell or lease computer equipment to University under any Agreement resulting from this RFP then, pursuant to [§361.965\(c\), Health & Safety Code](#), Proposer is in compliance with the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act set forth in [Chapter 361, Subchapter Y, Health & Safety Code](#), and the rules adopted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under that Act as set forth in [30 TAC Chapter 328, §361.952\(2\), Health & Safety Code](#), states that, for purposes of the Manufacturer

\*1143430-03-22-37\*

Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act, the term “computer equipment” means a desktop or notebook computer and includes a computer monitor or other display device that does not contain a tuner.

**2.12 Conflict of Interest Certification.**

- Proposer is not a debarred vendor or the principal of a debarred vendor (i.e. owner, proprietor, sole or majority shareholder, director, president, managing partner, etc.) either at the state or federal level.
- Proposer’s provision of services or other performance under any Agreement resulting from this RFP will not constitute an actual or potential conflict of interest.
- Proposer has disclosed any personnel who are related to any current or former employees of University.
- Proposer has not given, nor does Proposer intend to give, at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to an officer or employee of University in connection with this RFP.

**2.13 Proposer Certification Relating to Critical Infrastructure.** Pursuant to Chapter 2274, *Texas Government Code* (enacted by [SB 2116, 87<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature, Regular Session \(2021\)](#)), Proposer certifies (A) it is neither owned by nor is the majority of stock or other ownership interest of the Proposer held or controlled by (i) individuals who are citizens of China, Iran, North Korea, Russia, or a country designated by the Governor of Texas as a threat to critical infrastructure under Section 2274.0103 of the *Texas Government Code* (a “designated country”) or (ii) a company or other entity, including a governmental entity, that is owned or controlled by citizens of or is directly controlled by the government of China, Iran, North Korea, Russia, or a designated country; and (B) it is not headquartered in China, Iran, North Korea, Russia, or a designated country. Proposer understands that the prohibitions set forth in the preceding sentence apply regardless of whether (1) Proposer’s or its parent company’s securities are publicly traded or (2) Proposer or its parent company is listed on a public stock exchange as either (a) a Chinese, Iranian, North Korean, or Russian company or (b) a company of a designated country. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.

**2.14 Proposer Compliance and Warranty Relating to Cloud Computing Services.** The Texas Department of Information Resources (**DIR**) has established and implemented a state risk and authorization management program providing a standardized approach for security assessment, authorization, and continuous monitoring of cloud computing services (**CCSs**) that process (including storing or transmitting) the data of Texas state agencies (**TX-RAMP**). The requirements of TX-RAMP include [Section 2054.0593 of the Texas Government Code, Title 1, Rule 202.77 of the Texas Administrative Code](#), and DIR’s TX-RAMP Manual.

Proposer represents and warrants that throughout the term of any Agreement resulting from this RFP it will comply with the requirements of TX-RAMP and that all CCSs subject to TX-RAMP will comply with the requirements of and be certified under TX-RAMP. The CCSs subject to TX-RAMP include those provided by Proposer either through such an Agreement or in furtherance of such an Agreement, including CCSs provided through Proposer’s subcontractors or third-party providers. A CCS used in furtherance of an Agreement includes a CCS that Proposer or its subcontractors or third-party providers use to process (including storing or transmitting) University data, even if the University itself does not access or use that CCS.

Proposer’s subcontractors or third-party providers responsible solely for servicing or supporting a CCS provided by Proposer or another Proposer subcontractor or third-party provider shall not be required to provide evidence of TX-RAMP certification; instead, Proposer will be responsible for providing such evidence. The list of current TX-RAMP certified CCSs and DIR’s TX-RAMP Manual are set forth at <https://dir.texas.gov/txramp>.

Proposer understands and agrees that the University may not enter into or renew a contract with Proposer to purchase CCSs that are subject to TX-RAMP unless Proposer demonstrates compliance with TX-RAMP requirements. Proposer acknowledges that any Agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if Proposer does not comply with TX-RAMP or this Section.

Proposer’s representations, warranties, and obligations under this Section 2.15 include any CCSs that are identified by Proposer in its response to Option 2 in Section 3.2.5 of Appendix One.

**2.15 Proposer should complete the following information:**

f Proposer is a Corporation, then State of Incorporation: \_\_\_\_\_

If Proposer is a Corporation then Proposer’s Corporate Charter Number: \_\_\_\_\_

RFP No.: UTA2023-011A

**NOTICE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER §§552.021 AND 552.023, GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER §559.004, GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.**

**\*1143430-03-23-37\***

**Submitted and Certified By:**

---

(Proposer Institution's Name)

---

(Signature of Duly Authorized Representative)

---

(Printed Name/Title)

---

(Date Signed)

---

(Proposer's Street Address)

---

(City, State, Zip Code)

---

(Telephone Number)

---

(FAX Number)

---

(Email Address)

**\*1143430-03-24-37\***



## SECTION 3

PROPOSER'S GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE

**NOTICE:** WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER §§[552.021](#) AND [552.023](#), *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER [559.004](#), *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.

Proposals must include responses to the questions contained in this Proposer's General Questionnaire. Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N/A (Not Applicable) or N/R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer will explain the reason when responding N/A or N/R.

**3.1 Proposer Profile**

3.1.1 Legal name of Proposer company:

\_\_\_\_\_

Address of principal place of business:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Address of office that would be providing service under the Agreement:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Number of years in Business: \_\_\_\_\_

State of incorporation: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Employees: \_\_\_\_\_

Annual Revenues Volume: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Parent Corporation, if any \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTE: If Proposer is a subsidiary, University prefers to enter into a contract or agreement with the Parent Corporation or to receive assurances of performance from the Parent Corporation.**

- 3.1.2 State whether Proposer will provide a copy of its financial statements for the past two (2) years, if requested by University.
- 3.1.3 Proposer will provide a financial rating of the Proposer entity and any related documentation (such as a Dunn and Bradstreet analysis) that indicates the financial stability of Proposer.
- 3.1.4 Is Proposer currently for sale or involved in any transaction to expand or to become acquired by another business entity? If yes, Proposer will explain the expected impact, both in organizational and directional terms.
- 3.1.5 Proposer will provide any details of all past or pending litigation or claims filed against Proposer that would affect its performance under the Agreement with University (if any).
- 3.1.6 Is Proposer currently in default on any loan agreement or financing agreement with any bank, financial institution, or other entity? If yes, Proposer will specify the pertinent date(s), details, circumstances, and describe the current prospects for resolution.
- 3.1.7 Proposer will provide a customer reference list of no less than three (3) organizations with which Proposer currently has contracts and/or to which Proposer has previously provided services (within the past five (5) years) of a type and scope similar to those required

**\*1143430-03-25-37\***

by University's RFP. Proposer will include in its customer reference list the customer's company name, contact person, telephone number, project description, length of business relationship, and background of services provided by Proposer.

- 3.1.8 Does any relationship exist (whether by family kinship, business association, capital funding agreement, or any other such relationship) between Proposer and any employee of University? If yes, Proposer will explain.
- 3.1.9 Proposer will provide the name and Social Security Number for each person having at least 25% ownership interest in Proposer. This disclosure is mandatory pursuant to [§231.006, Family Code](#), and will be used for the purpose of determining whether an owner of Proposer with an ownership interest of at least 25% is more than 30 days delinquent in paying child support. Further disclosure of this information is governed by the *Texas Public Information Act* (ref. [Chapter 552, Government Code](#)), and other applicable law.

### 3.2 Approach to Work

- 3.2.1 Proposer will provide a statement of the Proposer's service approach and will describe any unique benefits to University from doing business with Proposer. Proposer will briefly describe its approach for each of the required services identified in **Section 5.4** Scope of Work of this RFP.
- 3.2.2 Proposer will provide an estimate of the earliest starting date for services following execution of the Agreement.
- 3.2.3 Proposer will submit a work plan with key dates and milestones. The work plan should include:
- 3.2.3.1 Identification of tasks to be performed;
  - 3.2.3.2 Time frames to perform the identified tasks;
  - 3.2.3.3 Project management methodology;
  - 3.2.3.4 Implementation strategy; and
  - 3.2.3.5 The expected time frame in which the services would be implemented.
- 3.2.4 Proposer will describe the types of reports or other written documents Proposer will provide (if any) and the frequency of reporting, if more frequent than required in this RFP. Proposer will include samples of reports and documents if appropriate.
- 3.2.5 Proposer must select, and if necessary complete, one of the following two options regarding cloud computing services ("CCSs"):
- \_\_\_\_\_ **OPTION 1:** Proposer represents and warrants that it will not provide any CCSs either through this Agreement or in furtherance of this Agreement, as provided in Section 2.15 of Appendix One.
- \_\_\_\_\_ **OPTION 2:** Proposer represents and warrants that it will provide the following CCSs either through this Agreement or in furtherance of this Agreement, as provided in Section 2.15 of Appendix One:
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

### 3.3 General Requirements

- 3.3.1 Proposer will provide summary resumes for its proposed key personnel who will be providing services under the Agreement with University, including their specific experiences with similar service projects, and number of years of employment with Proposer.
- 3.3.2 Proposer will describe any difficulties it anticipates in performing its duties under the Agreement with University and how Proposer plans to manage these difficulties. Proposer will describe the assistance it will require from University.

### 3.4 Service Support

Proposer will describe its service support philosophy, how it is implemented, and how Proposer measures its success in maintaining this philosophy.

### 3.5 Quality Assurance

Proposer will describe its quality assurance program, its quality requirements, and how they are measured.

**3.6 Miscellaneous**

- 3.6.1 Proposer will provide a list of any additional services or benefits not otherwise identified in this RFP that Proposer would propose to provide to University. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.
- 3.6.2 Proposer will provide details describing any unique or special services or benefits offered or advantages to be gained by University from doing business with Proposer. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.
- 3.6.3 Does Proposer have a contingency plan or disaster recovery plan in the event of a disaster? If so, then Proposer will provide a copy of the plan.

**\*1143430-03-27-37\***

**SECTION 4**  
**ADDENDA CHECKLIST**

**Proposal of:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(Proposer Name)

**To:** University of Texas at Arlington

**RFP No.:** UTA2023-011A

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The undersigned Proposer hereby acknowledges receipt of the following Addenda to the captioned RFP (initial blanks for any Addenda issued).

No. 1 \_\_\_\_\_ No. 2 \_\_\_\_\_ No. 3 \_\_\_\_\_ No. 4 \_\_\_\_\_ No. 5 \_\_\_\_\_

Respectfully submitted,

**Proposer:** \_\_\_\_\_

**By:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(Authorized Signature for Proposer)

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**\*1143430-03-28-37\***

**APPENDIX TWO**

**TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

**See ATTACHMENT A: TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

**\*1143430-03-29-37\***

## APPENDIX THREE

## HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

Proposer must submit one (1) complete copy of HSP to University at the same time it submits its proposal to University (ref. SECTION 3.2 of this RFP). **The HSP must be submitted/uploaded as a separate file/document**, or as directed in BidNet. Proposer must ensure that the HSP clearly shows and makes visible:

- The RFP No. and the Submittal Deadline (ref. **Section 2.1**),
- Name and address of Proposer, and
- File name should include the RFP number and the words “HUB Subcontracting Plan.”

Any proposal submitted in response to this RFP that is not accompanied by a separate HSP file meeting the above requirements may be rejected by University as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

University will open Proposer’s HSP prior to opening the proposal to confirm Proposer submitted the HSP. Proposer’s failure to submit the HSP will result in University’s rejection of the proposal as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

**\*1143430-03-30-37\***

## APPENDIX FOUR

## ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

**Access by Individuals with Disabilities:** Contractor represents and warrants (**EIR Accessibility Warranty**) the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support Contractor provides to University under this Agreement (**EIRs**) comply with applicable requirements in [1 TAC Chapter 213](#) and [1 TAC §206.70](#) (ref. [Subchapter M, Chapter 2054, Texas Government Code](#)). To the extent Contractor becomes aware the EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Contractor represents and warrants it will, at no cost to University, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make the EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace the EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. If Contractor fails or is unable to do so, University may terminate this Agreement and, within thirty (30) days after termination, Contractor will refund to University all amounts University paid under this Agreement. Contractor will provide all assistance and cooperation necessary for performance and documentation of accessibility testing, planning, and execution criteria conducted by University or University's third party testing resources, as required by [1 TAC §213.38\(g\)](#).

## Accessibility Information

Proposer must provide the following, as required by [1 TAC §213.38\(b\)](#):

- A. Accessibility information for the electronic and information resources (EIR)<sup>1</sup> products or services proposed by Proposer, where applicable, through one of the following methods:
1. URL to completed Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates (VPATs)<sup>2</sup> or equivalent reporting templates;
  2. accessible electronic document that addresses the same accessibility criteria in substantially the same format as VPATs or equivalent reporting templates; or
  3. URL to a web page which explains how to request completed VPATs, or equivalent reporting templates, for any product under contract.

If credible accessibility documentation cannot be provided, then EIR shall be considered noncompliant; and

- B. Credible evidence of Proposer's capability or ability to produce accessible EIR products and services. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, Proposer's internal accessibility policy documents, contractual warranties for accessibility, accessibility testing documents, and examples of prior work results.

**\*1143430-03-31-37\***

**APPENDIX FIVE****ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICATIONS**

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX SIX** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

University is primarily a Microsoft products environment.

**Basic Specifications**

1. If the EIR will be hosted by University, please describe the overall environment requirements for the EIR (size the requirements to support the number of concurrent users, the number of licenses and the input/output generated by the application as requested in the application requirements).
  - A. Hardware: If Proposer will provide hardware, does the hardware have multiple hard drives utilizing a redundant RAID configuration for fault tolerance? Are redundant servers included as well?
  - B. Operating System and Version:
  - C. Web Server: Is a web server required? If so, what web application is required (Apache or IIS)? What version? Are add-ins required?
  - D. Application Server:
  - E. Database:
  - F. Other Requirements: Are any other hardware or software components required?
  - G. Assumptions: List any assumptions made as part of the identification of these environment requirements.
  - H. Storage: What are the space/storage requirements of this implementation?
  - I. Users: What is the maximum number of users this configuration will support?
  - J. Clustering: How does the EIR handle clustering over multiple servers?
  - K. Virtual Server Environment: Can the EIR be run in a virtual server environment?
2. If the EIR will be hosted by Proposer, describe in detail what the hosted solution includes, and address, specifically, the following issues:
  - A. Describe the audit standards of the physical security of the facility; and
  - B. Indicate whether Proposer is willing to allow an audit by University or its representative.
3. If the user and administrative interfaces for the EIR are web-based, do the interfaces support Firefox on Mac as well as Windows and Safari on the Macintosh?
4. If the EIR requires special client software, what are the environment requirements for that client software?
5. Manpower Requirements: Who will operate and maintain the EIR? Will additional University full time employees (FTEs) be required? Will special training on the EIR be required by Proposer's technical staff? What is the estimated cost of required training.
6. Upgrades and Patches: Describe Proposer's strategy regarding EIR upgrades and patches for both the server and, if applicable, the client software. Included Proposer's typical release schedule, recommended processes, estimated outage and plans for next version/major upgrade.

**Security**

1. Has the EIR been tested for application security vulnerabilities? For example, has the EIR been evaluated against the Open Web Application Security Project (**OWASP**) Top 10 list that includes flaws like cross site scripting and SQL injection? If so, please provide the scan results and specify the tool used. University will not take final delivery of the EIR if University determines there are serious vulnerabilities within the EIR.

**\*1143430-03-32-37\***



2. Which party, Proposer or University, will be responsible for maintaining critical EIR application security updates?
3. If the EIR is hosted, indicate whether Proposer's will permit University to conduct a penetration test on University's instance of the EIR.
4. If confidential data, including HIPAA or FERPA data, is stored in the EIR, will the data be encrypted at rest and in transmittal?

#### Integration

1. Is the EIR authentication Security Assertion Markup Language (**SAML**) compliant? Has Proposer ever implemented the EIR with Shibboleth authentication? If not, does the EIR integrate with Active Directory? Does the EIR support TLS connections to this directory service?
2. Does the EIR rely on Active Directory for group management and authorization or does the EIR maintain a local authorization/group database?
3. What logging capabilities does the EIR have? If this is a hosted EIR solution, will University have access to implement logging with University's standard logging and monitoring tools, RSA's Envision?
4. Does the EIR have an application programming interface (**API**) that enables us to incorporate it with other applications run by the University? If so, is the API .Net based? Web Services-based? Other?
5. Will University have access to the EIR source code? If so, will the EIR license permit University to make modifications to the source code? Will University's modifications be protected in future upgrades?
6. Will Proposer place the EIR source code in escrow with an escrow agent so that if Proposer is no longer in business or Proposer has discontinued support, the EIR source code will be available to University.

#### Accessibility Information

Proposer must provide the following accessibility information for the electronic and information resources (**EIRs**)<sup>1</sup> products or services proposed by Proposer, where applicable, through one or more of the following methods, as required by [1 TAC §213.38\(b\)](#):

- (A) inclusion in its proposal of (or URLs to) manufacturer pages of completed Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates (**VPATs**)<sup>2</sup> or accessibility conformance reports (**ACRs**)<sup>3</sup> for applicable Commercial Off the Shelf products / or services;
- (B) inclusion in its proposal of other documents / forms that provide credible evidence of the Proposer's capability or ability to produce accessible EIR products and services. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, Proposer's internal accessibility policy documents, contractual warranties for accessibility, accessibility testing documents, and examples of prior work results; or
- (C) inclusion in its proposal of the URL to a web page which explains how to request completed ACRs or VPATs for any product Proposer proposes to provide to the University under any contract resulting from this RFP.

If Proposer cannot provide credible accessibility documentation for an EIR, then the Proposer's EIR shall be considered noncompliant.

---

<sup>1</sup> Electronic and information resources are defined in [§2054.451, Government Code](#) and [1 TAC §213.1 \(9\)](#).

<sup>2</sup> A Voluntary Product Accessibility Template is a vendor-supplied form for a commercial off-the-shelf Electronic and Information Resource used to document its compliance with technical accessibility standards and specifications. See [1 TAC §213.1 \(22\)](#). For further information, see this [VPAT document](#) provided by the Information Technology Industry Council.

<sup>3</sup> Accessibility conformance reports are an accessibility report of an EIR item's compliance with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as amended, 29 U.S.C. §794(d), 36 C.F.R. §1194.1, that is created using a VPAT template. See [1 TAC §213.1 \(1\)](#).

# \*1143430-03-33-37\*

## APPENDIX SIX

SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF  
CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION RESOURCES

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX SEVEN** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

**"Information Resources"** means any and all computer printouts, online display devices, mass storage media, and all computer-related activities involving any device capable of receiving email, browsing Web sites, or otherwise capable of receiving, storing, managing, or transmitting Data including, but not limited to, mainframes, servers, Network Infrastructure, personal computers, notebook computers, hand-held computers, personal digital assistant (PDA), pagers, distributed processing systems, network attached and computer controlled medical and laboratory equipment (i.e. embedded technology), telecommunication resources, network environments, telephones, fax machines, printers and service bureaus. Additionally, it is the procedures, equipment, facilities, software, and Data that are designed, built, operated, and maintained to create, collect, record, process, store, retrieve, display, and transmit information.

**"University Records"** means records or record systems that Proposer (1) creates, (2) receives from or on behalf of University, or (3) has access, and which may contain confidential information (including credit card information, social security numbers, and private health information (**PHI**) subject to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (**HIPAA**) of 1996 (Public Law 104-191), or education records subject to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (**FERPA**).

General Protection of University Records

1. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources (ref. **Section 5.3.4**) to be provided or used by Proposer pursuant to this RFP.
2. List all products, including imbedded products that are a part of Information Resources and the corresponding owner of each product.
3. Describe any assumptions made by Proposer in its proposal regarding information security outside those already listed in the proposal.

*Complete the following additional questions if the Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:*

4. Describe the monitoring procedures and tools used for monitoring the integrity and availability of all products interacting with Information Resources, including procedures and tools used to, detect security incidents and to ensure timely remediation.
5. Describe the physical access controls used to limit access to Proposer's data center and network components.
6. What procedures and best practices does Proposer follow to harden all systems that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed?
7. What technical security measures does the Proposer take to detect and prevent unintentional, accidental and intentional corruption or loss of University Records?
8. Will the Proposer agree to a vulnerability scan by University of the web portal application that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed? If Proposer objects, explain basis for the objection to a vulnerability scan.
9. Describe processes Proposer will use to provide University assurance that the web portal and all systems that would hold or process University Records can provide adequate security of University Records.
10. Does Proposer have a data backup and recovery plan supported by policies and procedures, in place for Information Resources? If yes, briefly describe the plan, including scope and frequency of backups, and how often the plan is updated. If no, describe what alternative methodology Proposer uses to ensure the restoration and availability of University Records.

**\*1143430-03-34-37\***

11. Does Proposer encrypt backups of University Records? If yes, describe the methods used by Proposer to encrypt backup data. If no, what alternative safeguards does Proposer use to protect backups against unauthorized access?

12. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources to safeguard University Records containing confidential information.

*Complete the following additional question if Information Resources will create, receive, or access University Records containing PHI subject to HIPAA:*

13. Does Proposer monitor the safeguards required by the HIPAA Security Rule (45 C.F.R. §164 subpts. A, E (2002)) and Proposer's own information security practices, to ensure continued compliance? If yes, provide a copy of or link to the Proposer's HIPAA Privacy & Security policies and describe the Proposer's monitoring activities and the frequency of those activities with regard to PHI.

### **Access Control**

1. How will users gain access (i.e., log in) to Information Resources?

2. Do Information Resources provide the capability to use local credentials (i.e., federated authentication) for user authentication and login? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability.

3. Do Information Resources allow for multiple security levels of access based on affiliation (e.g., staff, faculty, and student) and roles (e.g., system administrators, analysts, and information consumers), and organizational unit (e.g., college, school, or department)? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide for multiple security levels of access.

4. Do Information Resources provide the capability to limit user activity based on user affiliation, role, and/or organizational unit (i.e., who can create records, delete records, create and save reports, run reports only, etc.)? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability. If no, describe what alternative functionality is provided to ensure that users have need-to-know based access to Information Resources.

5. Do Information Resources manage administrator access permissions at the virtual system level? If yes, describe how this is done.

6. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, password storage specifications, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

*Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:*

7. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that would have access to the environment hosting University Records to ensure need-to-know-based access?

8. What procedures and best practices does Proposer have in place to ensure that user credentials are updated and terminated as required by changes in role and employment status?

9. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

### **Use of Data**

*Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:*

1. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that have access to the environment hosting all systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed, to ensure that University Records will not be accessed or used in an unauthorized manner?

2. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to segregate University Records from system data and other customer data and/or as applicable, to separate specific University data, such as HIPAA and FERPA protected data, from University Records that are not subject to such protection, to prevent accidental and unauthorized access to University Records ?

3. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to prevent the unauthorized use, reuse, distribution, transmission, manipulation, copying, modification, access, or disclosure of University Records?
4. What procedures and safeguards does Proposer have in place for sanitizing and disposing of University Records according to prescribed retention schedules or following the conclusion of a project or termination of a contract to render University Records unrecoverable and prevent accidental and unauthorized access to University Records? Describe the degree to which sanitizing and disposal processes addresses University data that may be contained within backup systems. If University data contained in backup systems is not fully sanitized, describe processes in place that would prevent subsequent restoration of backed-up University data.

#### **Data Transmission**

1. Do Information Resources encrypt all University Records in transit and at rest? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that security. If no, what alternative methods are used to safeguard University Records in transit and at rest?

*Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:*

2. How does data flow between University and Information Resources? If connecting via a private circuit, describe what security features are incorporated into the private circuit. If connecting via a public network (e.g., the Internet), describe the way Proposer will safeguard University Records.
3. Do Information Resources secure data transmission between University and Proposer? If yes, describe how Proposer provides that security. If no, what alternative safeguards are used to protect University Records in transit?

#### **Notification of Security Incidents**

*Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:*

1. Describe Proposer's procedures to isolate or disable all systems that interact with Information Resources in the event a security breach is identified, including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed.
2. What procedures, methodology, and timetables does Proposer have in place to detect information security breaches and notify University and other customers? Include Proposer's definition of security breach.
3. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to detect information security breaches, including unauthorized access by Proposer's and subcontractor's own employees and agents and provide required notifications in a manner that meets the requirements of the state breach notification law.

#### **Compliance with Applicable Legal & Regulatory Requirements**

*Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:*

1. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to retain, preserve, backup, delete, and search data in a manner that meets the requirements of state and federal electronic discovery rules, including how and in what format University Records are kept and what tools are available to University to access University Records.
2. Describe the safeguards Proposer has in place to ensure that systems (including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed) that interact with Information Resources reside within the United States of America. If no such controls, describe Proposer's processes for ensuring that data is protected in compliance with all applicable US federal and state requirements, including export control.
3. List and describe any regulatory or legal actions taken against Proposer for security or privacy violations or security breaches or incidents, including the final outcome.

**\*1143430-03-36-37\***

**APPENDIX SEVEN****INFORMATION SECURITY APPLICATION RISK ASSESSMENT**

1. Documentation that is needed to conduct an Information Security Application Risk Assessment for cloud services is listed below. To speed the process of the assessment, submit the following documentation.
  - a. Texas Risk and Authorization Program (TX-RAMP) certification:
    - i. Level 1 certification is required for non-Confidential Information
    - ii. Level 2 certification is required for mission critical cloud services, or services dealing with Confidential Information
    - iii. A TX-RAMP Provisional certification is acceptable, which allows vendors to conduct business with state agencies while working toward the appropriate certification.
    - iv. See Section 2 below for information on submitting a TX-RAMP certification application
  - b. A completed copy of the Higher Education Cloud Vendor Assessment Tool (HECVAT) - Lite version found on the Educause website [Click Here](#). UTA's Information Security Office (ISO) will evaluate an alternate assessment tool on a case-by-case basis if the vendor is unable to complete a HECVAT. (A current copy of the TX-RAMP security assessment will be acceptable.)
  - c. A SOC 2 Type 2 report or any other third party report to attest to your security practices
  - d. If the application/service deals with PCI information we need proof of PCI certification
  - e. If there are any data exchanges between your application and UTA Systems, please provide details of data transfer, the type of data being transferred, and how the data is being secured in transit.
  - f. For accessibility, a Voluntary Product Accessibility Template (VPAT) document, form 508 for software, or form WCAG for Online Resources. See <https://www.itic.org/policy/accessibility> (Scroll down to the links).
2. Information and Useful links for TX-RAMP:

Vendor link to submit for a Provisional, Level 1 or Level 2 TX-RAMP Certification:

- a. <https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/6510630/TX-RAMP-Vendor-Contact>.

If Proposer does not currently have a TX-RAMP certification, please consider applying for the provisional certification as well as the appropriate level TX RAMP Cert. This allows 18 months to get the full TX-RAMP Cert and still conduct business with State Agencies.

- a. Overview for vendors: [https://dir.texas.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/TX-RAMP Overview Webinar For Vendors.Update.pdf](https://dir.texas.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/TX-RAMP%20Overview%20Webinar%20For%20Vendors.Update.pdf)
- b. Texas Department of Information Resources (TX DIR) TX-RAMP Information: <https://dir.texas.gov/texas-risk-and-authorization-management-program-tx-ramp>
- c. TX-RAMP Manual: [TX-RAMP Program Manual v2](#)
- d. TX-RAMP FAQs by the Texas Dept of Information Resources: <https://dir.texas.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/TX-RAMP%20FAQ.12.30.21.pdf>

**\*1143430-03-37-37\***