## ADDENDUM 1

**DATE:** March 10, 2023

RFP NUMBER: UTA2023-014

**RFP DUE DATE:** March 23, 2023 at 03:00 p.m.

The following changes and/or clarifications are hereby incorporated into the RFP. Your proposal must reflect the following:

## **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS SUBMITTED BY DEADLINE**

# **SEE APPENDIX A BELOW**

# PLEASE SUBMIT WITH YOUR PROPOSAL

Charlie Brooks -- Contract Specialist -- 817-272-2140

SIGNED: \_\_\_\_\_

### ADDENDUM 1

#### UTA2023-014 SUBMITTED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- 1) How often does the Compliance Services team conduct a compliance risk assessment? Risk assessments are intended to be conducted every year.
- 2) When was the most recent assessment performed, and can a copy of the results be shared? I am in the middle of conducting risk assessments for this fiscal year. I would share the assessments with the contractor chosen to perform the project.
- 3) Can you describe the overall objectives for performing the compliance risk assessment and is it part of the university's overall enterprise risk assessment process? The overall objective is to identify, document and mitigate risks for about 25 high-risk operational areas across campus. This risk assessments are one component of UTA's overall enterprise risk assessment process.
- 4) What application software is currently used to gather and house the compliance risk assessment documents/data? Microsoft Office applications (Word and Excel) are used.
- Is the risk assessment currently performed under a specific framework used as a guideline? Risk assessments are performed in accordance with internal Compliance Services procedures.
- 6) Are you able to share any information about the maturity of the current compliance risk assessment process? There is an existing and managed process in place that includes forms, procedures, points of contact, and past assessment documentation.
- 7) Does the University have an expectation for the number of interviews to be performed as part of the project? Interviews are expected to be limited to the compliance management analyst and possibly two other compliance members. The review should not expand beyond the compliance team.
- 8) Can you share the number of policies, regulations, laws, or other applicable rules that you expect to be in-scope? We are trying to gauge the level of effort the University is expecting so that we can price the proposal accordingly. Ballpark estimate of 10 or less documentary resources.
- 9) Does the University expect the interviews to be conducted with both central compliance individuals as well as individuals in other departments/functions that have compliance responsibilities (e.g., Human Resources, Research). Interviews are expected to be limited to the compliance management analyst and possibly two other compliance members. The review should not expand beyond the compliance team.
- 10) What technology, systems, and/or data analytic platforms are you currently using in your compliance risk assessment model? Microsoft Office (Word and Excel).
- 11) Do you have a current GRC (Governance, risk management, and compliance) software? No
- 12) What software does UTA use for internal audit work? The compliance office uses Microsoft Office (Word and Excel) to document risk assessments.
- 13) Would you expect the work to be on-site, remote, or a combination? Combination. I expect one week on site would likely be sufficient.
- 14) In terms of the scope of work, can you provide further details on compliance risk:
  - a. If the current compliance process is centralized or decentralized? Centralized

- b. What of compliance areas will be reviewed or how many areas of compliance will be reviewed or interviewed as requested in this RFP. Interviews expected to be limited to three compliance staff members.
- c. Do you have a target/budget in mind for number of hours for the project or number of areas to review? No.
- 15) Please confirm if this RFP does not require to utilize the HUB Subcontracting Plan as noted in this RFP below.
  - 2.5 Historically Underutilized Businesses (does not apply to this procurement)
  - 1.9.6 Proposer must also submit the HUB Subcontracting Plan (also called the HSP) as required. by Section 2.5.

Bad editing on my part. I should have deleted or, at the very least, edited Section 1.9.6 - Section 2.5 is correct. To clarify, this is a one-and-done activity and is not expected to come anywhere close to meeting or exceeding the \$100,000 requirement for HSP submission. (Just so you know, I did, however, submit to several HUBS. And, if you have a HUB opportunity, please bring them on board as a sub to your proposal response.)