

ADDENDUM 3

DATE: March 28, 2025
RFP NUMBER: UTA2025-001 – Lawn Maintenance for Housing Properties
RFP DUE DATE: April 11, 2025

Questions and Answers submitted by question deadline:

Q1 Who is the incumbent?

A1 Yellowstone Landscaping

Q2 What is the current contract value?

A2 Between September 1, 2020 (contract effective date) to now we have spent \$787,336.09.

Q3 What are the areas of improvement you would like to see with a new vendor?

A3 All breezeways blown consistently

Q4 Are there any areas of concerns or services that the current contractor is unable to provide or service to the stated/desired contract level?

A4 Not blowing all breezeway levels & letting sucker trees grow up through shrubbery.

Q5 If we are in the process of applying for HUB certification, will we be required to submit a subcontracting plan?

A5 Yes. All suppliers, HUB or not, MUST submit an HSP.

Q6 Can provide the bid tabulation from the previous bid for this project (2020)?

A6

RFP UTA2020-010 Bid Tabulation

Response from ABM Texas General Services was removed from consideration as we could not guarantee over-seeding in the fall.

Best Value Criteria	United Veterans KA	United Veterans GG	United Veterans RS	United Veterans KW	United Veterans Average	Yellowstone Landscaping KA	Yellowstone Landscaping GG	Yellowstone Landscaping RS	Yellowstone Landscaping KW	Yellowstone Landscaping Average
Cost of goods and services and other financial benefits offered to the University (10 points max)					10.00					9.33
Reputation of the Proposer and the Proposer's goods and services (10 points max)	10.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.75	10.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00
Quality of the Proposer's goods or services (20 points max)	15.00	16.00	12.00	14.00	14.25	15.00	17.00	18.00	14.00	16.00
Extent to which the Proposer's goods or services meet the University's needs (30 points max)	15.00	24.00	23.00	23.00	21.25	15.00	25.00	25.00	23.00	22.00
Proposer's past relationship with the University or their relationship with other organizations or institutions (10 points max)	7.00	6.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	5.00	8.00	5.00	7.00	6.25
Total long term cost or benefit to the University of acquiring the Proposer's goods or services (10 points max)	5.00	6.00	5.00	6.00	5.50	5.00	7.00	5.00	7.00	6.00
Any other relevant factors that a private business entity would consider in selecting a vendor (10 points max)	5.00	6.00	5.00	7.00	5.75	5.00	9.00	5.00	7.00	6.50
TOTAL	57.00	65.00	60.00	64.00	71.50	55.00	74.00	65.00	65.00	74.08

Q7 Is there a specific number of key personnel that UTA would like to be assigned, or is this up to the contractor?

A7 This decision is being left to the contractor.

Q8 Will key personnel resumes be required for submission?

A8 Not necessary.

Nancy Czarowitz

Contract Specialist

czarowitz@uta.edu

SIGNED: _____

COMPANY NAME: _____